

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/085,457	BUCHEGGER, SONJA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew Heneghan	2134	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Matthew Heneghan.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney Douglas W. Cameron.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 May 2006

**Time:** 3:12 PM EDT

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*In a reply phone message to a phone message from the Examiner, Attorney Cameron stated that a reply to the previous office action may have inadvertently not been sent.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)